

**OCT 18 2005**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MARY AGRIMSON, aka Mary Elizabeth  
Wakeford,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-30012

D.C. No. CR-98-00043-CCL

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Montana  
Charles C. Lovell, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 11, 2005<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: T.G. NELSON, WARDLAW, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Mary Agrimson appeals her sentence imposed following the revocation of supervised release. Agrimson was convicted of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine and cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841 and

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

846.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for Agrimson has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, and a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. Agrimson has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83-84 (1988), discloses no grounds for relief. We therefore **GRANT** counsel's motion to withdraw and **AFFIRM** the district court's judgment.

**AFFIRMED.**